

EU-Russia Regulatory Dialogue: Construction Sector Subgroup

Seminar

"Worked examples on Bridge Design with Eurocodes"

St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013

Organized and supported by

European Commission

DG Joint Research Centre DG Enterprise and Industry

Russian Federation

Federal Highway Agency, Ministry of Transport

European Committee for Standardization

TC250 Structural Eurocodes



Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' – St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013

Geotechnical aspects of bridge design (EN 1997)

Jean-Pierre Magnan Dr.Sc., Prof., IGPEF IFSTTAR & École nationale des ponts et chaussées Marne-la-Vallée, France

Contents



Introduction Presentation of Eurocode 7 "Geotechnical design" Contents of Part 1 and 2 Specific aspects of EN 1997-1 Characteristic and design values ULS definition and check SLS and allowable movements of foundations Shallow foundations Design approaches Geotechnical data **Concluding remarks**

Introduction



Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' – St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013





GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN / ANALYSIS

Checking all aspects of the interaction of a given structure and ground

Geotechnical analysis is responsible for

- assessing the ground nature and properties, including water,
- defining the ground resistance to any load (vertical, inclined, static, dynamic, seismic),
- estimating the ground deformation produced by the structure or any external action,
- defining the interaction forces at the ground-structure interface,
- checking the site stability and durability.

The aims of geotechnical analysis are the same in all countries.



NATIONAL EXPERIENCE AND EUROCODE 7

After a long (about 20 years) initial period trying to elaborate unified procedures, it was decided to produce an « umbrella » code giving rules but leaving details to national experience and preferences.

An example: The code says "You shall make a geotechnical model of the site interacting with the structure". But the way to achieve this is not imposed: you may use geology, geophysics, hydrogeology, in situ testing, core sampling and laboratory testing... depending on the way structures are calculated at the end. For resistances, some countries like pressuremeter or cone penetrometer, others like « c and φ ».

Introduction



Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' - St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013

EUROCODE 7 AND "DESIGN"

Eurocodes do not prescribe the type of structures nor their foundations. This bridge might be founded on piles, a piled raft, shallow foundations. Eurocodes define rules that have to be applied to prove the quality of the designed structure: loads and load combinations, criteria to be met by actions and resistances, provisions for safety assurance.

Eurocode 7 defines the rules for all actions and resistances linked to the ground (in combination with EC8 Part 5 for seismic situations).

For bridges, the loads are those transmitted by the structure to ground.



Introduction



EUROCODE 7 AND "DESIGN"

The reason which incited me to fly to Casablanca then drive to Tangiers in Morocco last Saturday was the development of a landslide next to the bridge foundation. A decision had to be taken: close or do not close the highway?

Even if Eurocodes tell which conditions have to be met, they don't indicate how groups of piles function next to a landslide, which could eventually cross the bridge. The decision rely on the engineers understanding of nature.



Eurocode 7 – Geotechnical design (applied to buildings and bridges)

EN 1997-1 (2004) : Part 1 - General rules EN 1997-2 (2007) : Part 2 - Ground investigation and testing

Contents of Part 1 (EN 1997-1:2004)

- Section 1 General
- Section 2 Basis of geotechnical design
- Section 3 Geotechnical data
- Section 4 Supervision of construction, monitoring and maintenance
- Section 5 Fill, dewatering, ground improvement and reinforcement
- Section 6 Spread foundations
- Section 7 Pile foundations
- Section 8 Anchorages
- Section 9 Retaining structures
- Section 10 Hydraulic failure
- Section 11 Site stability
- Section 12 Embankments
- Informative annexes with some calculation methods and recommended values of γ 's

EUROPEAN STANDARD NORME EUROPÉENNE	EN 1997-1		
EUROPÄISCHE NORM	November 2004		
ICS 91.120.20	Supersedes ENV 1997-1:1994		
English version Europonde 7: Geotechnical design - Part 1: General rules			

Eurocode 7: Calcul géctechnique - Partie 1: Règles cénérales Eurocode 7: Entwurf, Berechnung und Berressung in der Geotechnik - Teil 1: Allgemeine Regeln

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 23 April 2004.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CENCENELEC Internal Regulations which adjusted the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any situation. Up-to-chain lists and bibling regulation inferences concerning such national standards may be obtained on operation to the Central Barchainst for to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the Central Boordaniat has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national alexidaria bodies of Auskie, Beiglum, Ogsrue, Carch Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Creace, Hungary, Ioaland, Inelind, Bark, Lahke, Litaumin, Lucembourg, Malla, Netherlands, Nonesy, Poland, Portagel, Skowide, Storenia, Spin, Sweder, Switzenian and United Integration.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION EUROPÁISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Nanagement Centre: rue de Stassart, 36 B-1050 Brussels

© 2004 CEN All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved workfulde for CEN national Members.



Active /Passive earth pressures - annex C (Informative)



Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' – St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013 active Ka 1,0 0.9 0.8 0,7 100 90 0,6 80- $-\beta/\varphi' = 1,00$ 0,5 70· 60· horizontal component K_a 0.4 50· of B 4O· 0.3 30- $\beta/\varphi' = 0.80$ 20 0,2 $\beta/\varphi' = 0,60$ δ/φ = 0,66component K_p 0'01 $\beta/\varphi' = 0.40$ $\beta/\varphi' = 0.00$ $\delta/\phi = 0,66$ $\beta_{/\omega'=-0.40}$ 9,0 horizontal 8,0 $\beta/\omega' = -0.80$ 0,1-7,0 35 40 45 10 15 20 25 30 6.0 Design values of φ' 5,0

Active/Passive earth pressures

$$\beta$$
 = - ϕ à + ϕ
 δ = 0 ; 2/3 ϕ and ϕ



EN 1997-1 annexes D, E, F (Informative) Bearing capacity and settlement of foundations

Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' – St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013



Settlement of footings (Annex F)

Adjusted elasticity: $s = p \times b \times f / E_m$

(V; H)60 $2e_{\rm B}$ В Ð Q, \sim eB

12

Contents of Part 2 (EN 1997-2:2007)

Section 1 General Section 2 Planning and reporting of ground investigations Section 3 Drilling, sampling and groundwater measurements Section 4 Field tests in soils and rocks Section 5 Laboratory tests on soils and rocks Section 6 Ground investigation report Informative annexes (including calculation methods for piles)



English Version

Eurocode 7 - Geotechnical design - Part 2: Ground investigation and testing

Eurocode 7 - Calcul géolachnique - Partie 2: Reconnaissance des terrains et essais Eurocode 7 - Entwurf, Berechnung und Bemessung in de Geotechnik - Teil 2: Erkundung und Untersuchung des Baugrunds

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 12 June 2008.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CENICENELEC internal Regulations which tipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any attention. Up-to-date lists and biolographical inferences concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (Erglish, French, German). A version in any other language mode by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national elandards bodies of Austria, Beiglum, Beigaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Franco, Germany, Greeco, Hungary, Isoland, Indard, Italy, Labria, Lithuania, Lumenbourg, Mala, Netherlands, Normay, Poland, Portugal, Romanis, Sovenia, Sovenia, Sovenia, Soveiania and Urited Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION EUROPÁISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Management Centre: rue de Stassart, 36 B-1050 Brussels

© 2007 CEN All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved workdwide for CEN national Members.

Ref. No. EN 1997-2:2007: E





Characteristic values and design values ULS and Design Approaches SLS and deformations of structures





P The characteristic value of a geotechnical parameter shall be selected as a cautious estimate of the value affecting the occurrence of the limit state. They are therefore dependent of the structure to be designed.

If statistical methods are used, the characteristic value should be derived such that the calculated probability of a worse value governing the occurrence of the limit state under consideration is not greater than 5%.

Comment: Characteristic values of ground properties are considered to be the same as the values previously used for geotechnical calculations.

Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' – St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013

Design values are obtained from characteristic values by using partial factors:

Effects (E) of Actions (F) are increased when unfavourable $E_d = E_k \gamma_E (\gamma_E > 1)$, decreased when favourable $E_d = E_k \gamma_E (\gamma_E < 1)$.

Resistances R and soil strength X_{mat} are usually decreased: $R_d = R_k / \gamma_R$ $X_{mat,d} = X_{mat,k} / \gamma_M$

Combinations of actions for the structure are accepted as there are defined by structural engineers (EN1900, EN1901).

- EQU : loss of equilibrium of the structure
- STR : internal failure or excessive deformation of the structure or structural elements
- GEO : failure or excessive deformation of the ground
- UPL : loss of equilibrium due to uplift by water pressure (buoyancy) or other vertical actions
- HYD : hydraulic heave, internal erosion and piping caused by hydraulic gradients

(Fatigue is not considered)

Limit states may be checked (avoided) by one or more of the following means:

- by calculation (section 2.4);
- by prescriptive measures (section 2.5);
- by testing models or load tests (section 2.6);
- by an observational method (section 2.7).

Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' – St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013

Design values of geotechnical parameters Design value of a parameter : $X_d = X_k / \gamma_M$

Design values of effects of actions and resistances Checking for STR/GEO ULS : $E_d \le R_d$ $E_d = E \{\gamma_F.F_k; X_k / \gamma_M\}$ and $R_d = R \{\gamma_F.F_k; X_k / \gamma_M\}$ (= "at the source")

or $E_d = \gamma_E \cdot E \{ F_k ; X_k \}$ and $R_d = R \{ F_k ; X_k \} / \gamma_R$

Serviceability limit states SLS



Verifications :

 $E_d \leq C_d$

 C_{d} = limiting design value of the relevant serviceability criterion (eg settlements, relative rotations, etc.)

 E_{d} = design value of the effects of actions specified in the serviceability criterion, determined on the basis of the relevant combination

all
$$\gamma_F$$
 and $\gamma_M = 1.0$



20



Bearing resistance: $V_d \le R_d = R_k / \gamma_{R;v}$ (R_k : analytical – Annex D, semi-empirical – Annex E or prescriptive - Annex G)

Sliding resistance :

 $H_{d} \le R_{d} + R_{p;d}$ (R_{p} = lateral resistance) [+ $R_{d} \le 0.4 V_{d}$ for undrained analysis]

- drained conditions :

 $R_d = V'_d \tan \delta_d$ or $R_d = (V'_d \tan \delta_k) / \gamma_{R;h}$ - undrained conditions

 $R_d = A'c_{u;d}$ or $R_d = (A'c_{u;k}) / \gamma_{R;h}$



Overall stability ("slope stability")

Large eccentricities : special precautions if : e/B > 1/3 (or 0,6 ϕ)

Structural failure due to foundation movement

Structural design of spread foundation: see EN 1992



What are the values of all the " γ " for geotechnical design ?

- 1. The partial factors are meant to assure safety.
- 2. Safety cannot be invented and must be referred to experience.
- Soil mechanics has always used one global factor applied to resistances, without increasing loads. Therefore, design forces (or pressures) at the interface of ground and structure are discontinuous since structural design usually increases them by 1.35 or more.
- 4. The continuity at ground-structure interface implies that 1.35 should be introduced in geotechnical design. Most of CEN members accepted that, but not all countries. Two types of analyses, named "design approaches", were then defined: Approach 1 specifies two parallel sets of calculations. Approach 2 (and approach 3, which differs by the position of partial factors) specifies only one set of calculations.

STR/GEO persistent and transient design situations (spread foundations without geotechnical actions)



Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' – St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013

Design approach	Actions on/from the structure γ _F	Geotechnical resistance $\gamma_R~$ or γ_M (at the source)
1	1,35 and 1,5 New	$\gamma_{R;v} = 1,0$ $\gamma_{R;h} = 1,0$
	1,0 and 1,3 Traditional	γ_{M} = 1,25 (on c' and tan ϕ ') or γ_{M} = 1,4 (on c _u)
2	1,35 and 1,5 New	$\gamma_{R;v} = 1,4$ $\gamma_{R:h} = 1,1$
3	1,35 and 1,5 New	γ_{M} = 1,25 (on c' and tan ϕ ') or γ_{M} = 1,4 (on c _u)

Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' – St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013

Experience (national) links safety to a minimum value of the ratio of resistances to loads.



Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' – St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013

Question: how to divide existing global factors into partial ones to get an equivalent result?



STR/GEO persistent and transient design situations (spread foundations without geotechnical actions)



Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' – St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013

Design approach	Actions on/from the structure γ _F	Geotechnical resistance $\gamma_R~$ or γ_M (at the source)
1	1,35 and 1,5 New	γ _{R;v} = 1,0 γ _{R;h} = 1,0
	1,0 and 1,3 Traditional	γ_{M} = 1,25 (on c' and tan ϕ ') or γ_{M} = 1,4 (on c _u)
2	1,35 and 1,5 New	$\gamma_{R;v} = 1,4$ $\gamma_{R:h} = 1,1$
3	1,35 and 1,5 New	γ_{M} = 1,25 (on c' and tan ϕ ') or γ_{M} = 1,4 (on c _u)

- Include both immediate and delayed settlements
- Assess differential settlements and relative rotations
- Check that limit values for the structure are not reached frequent questions to structural engineers : what are they?...

Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' - St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013



Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' – St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013







31

Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' – St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013



Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' – St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013



Geotechnical data: 10⁻⁶ to 10⁻⁸ of whole ground volume tested

32

Geotechnical data

Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' – St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013



Identification of soils : core sampling results between abutment C0 and pier P1

Geotechnical data

Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' – St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013

VEAU DEAU Pf* (MPa) EQUIPEMENT Module E Cote (m) TUBAGE Em/Pl* COUPE ET FLUIDE Profondeur ETAGE OUTIL ^{m₽a)} M DESCRIPTION PFF PLM 1000 2000 400.0 DES TERRAINS e⁻. z=0m 0.0-38.0-NW77/89 37.0-Remblais ß marneux beige 16_0.26 12,42 3.2 36.0-.22 0.27 18,74 3.0-5.1 35.0-Ŧ 0.64 6,30 7.3 34.0-3,5 m/TN ∽₄ 9,92 6,93 12.0 **Results of pressuremeter** 33.0-1,64 3.05 30.3 32.0tests between abutment 12,19 .89 7 35.7 Marl 31.0-15,71 8 48.5 C0 and pier P1 Marne beige 30.0blanchätre .42 décomprimée PVC crépiné 45/50 Tricône 66 mm 9,57 23.5 entre 6,0 et 6,0 29.0m et trés Eau daire < 13,62 Calcaire de Saint Ouen décomprimée .98 entre 11,5 et 1(27.0 14,0 m 28.0-16,82 .39 11 33.1 Aucun 27.0-2.00 14,51 12-51.1 26.0-.36 8,46 17.4 25.0-12,73 14 36.4 24.0-1,65 8,79 25.6 10 23.0-15.5-.39 9,71 23.5 Marl 22.0-14 | 6,7 13.6 Marne beige 21.0rose <74,36 3 13 18-232.7 20.0-19.0 2 8,16 19 31.6 Sand z=20m 19.0-

34

Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' - St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013

Normally fractured calcareous marl (at 2,5 m depth and 3 m depth):

-
$$c'_{kg} = 0 \text{ kPa}$$

- $\phi'_{kg} = 30 \text{ degrees}$
- $\gamma_{kg} = 20 \text{ kN/m}^3$

From ground level to base of foundation: $\gamma = 20$ kN/m³

Water level is assumed to be one metre below the foundation level in both cases

Fill material : $c'_{kf} = 0$; $\phi'_{kf} = 30$ degrees; $\gamma_{kf} = 20$ kN/m³

35

Eurocode 7 :

- a common language for the geotechnical engineers throughout Europe and on other continents...
- a tool for the dialogue between the structural engineers and the geotechnical engineers;
- yet geotechnical rules are mainly based on experience. Will the prevailing economic rules and the evolution of societies give the opportunity to further increase this experience ?

and to really conclude, Eurocode 7 states that:

2.4.1(2) "It should be considered that knowledge of the ground conditions depends on the extent and quality of the geotechnical investigations. Such knowledge and the control of workmanship are usually more significant to fulfilling the fundamental requirements than is precision in the calculation models and partial factors".

&

1.3 "The code assumes that the personnel involved in all activities is suitably qualified and adequate communication exist among them"



Workshop 'Worked examples on bridge design with Eurocodes' - St-Petersburg, 17-18 April 2013

Thank you for your attention !