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Technical background

- **CEB Bulletins ”Fire design of concrete structure”, latest No 208 July 1991**
- **EC 2:Part 10, 1990, prepared for the Commission by experts J.C, Dotreppe (B), L. Krampf (D), J. Mathez (F)**
  - including material properties harmonized between EC 2, 3 and 4
- **ENV 1992-1-2 November 1995**
  - and national comments on ENV
- **Project Team started the revision 1999 and prEN was approved for Formal Vote 2002**
(5)P This Part 1-2 of EN 1992 applies to structures, or parts of structures, that are within the scope of EN 1992-1-1 and are designed accordingly. However, it does not cover:
- structures with prestressing by external tendons
- shell structures

(6)P The methods given in this Part 1-2 of EN 1992 are applicable to normal weight concrete up to strength class C90/105 and for lightweight concrete up to strength class LC55/60. Additional and alternative rules for strength classes above C50/60 are given in section 6.
### Summary of alternative verification methods given in EN 1992-1-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tabulated data</th>
<th>Simplified calculation methods</th>
<th>Advanced calculation methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Member analysis</strong></td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Data given for Standard fire only</td>
<td>• Standard fire and parametric fire</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Analysis of part of the structure</strong></td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>• Temperature profiles given for Standard fire only</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Only the principles are given</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Global structural analysis</strong></td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resistance to Fire CE-marking

National fire regulations:
- Required class - or fire resistance time

Parametric fire:
- Fire resistance time

Nominal fire:
- European REI (M) classification

Fire parts of Eurocodes:
- Tabulated data
- Simplified calculation
- Advanced calculation

EN 13501-2
Classification standard

EN 1363, EN 1365
Fire tests

CE marking
REI (M)
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Section 3 Material properties

• Strength and deformation properties in Section 3 are given for simplified and advanced calculation methods.

• Strength reduction curves for Tabulated data (in Section 5) and Simplified calculation methods (in Section 4) are derived from material properties in section 3.

• Thermal properties are given in Section 3 for calculation of temperature distribution inside the structure.

• Material properties for lightweight concrete are not given due to wide range of lightweight aggregates.
  – this does not exclude use of lightweight aggregate concrete, see e.g. Scope and Tabulated data.

• Strength and deformation properties are applicable to heating rates similar to standard fire curve (between 2 and 50 K/min).

• Residual strength properties are not given.
Concrete compressive strength

![Graph showing the ratio of strength to strain for concrete at different temperatures.]

- 20 °C
- 100 °C
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The graph displays the relationship between the ratio of strength and strain for concrete at various temperatures, showing how the strength decreases as the temperature increases.
Concrete: Stress-strain relationship

Mathematical model and parameters $f_{c,\theta}$, $\varepsilon_{c1,\theta}$ and $\varepsilon_{cu1,\theta}$

$\alpha_{CC} = 1.0$ in fire design

$$
\frac{3 \varepsilon f_{c,\theta}}{\varepsilon_{c1,\theta} \left(2 + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_{c1,\theta}}\right)^3\right)}
$$
Strength reduction of concrete

- The same strength reduction values are given for simplified calculation methods in Section 4
  1. Siliceous concrete
  2. Calcareous concrete
Reinforcing and prestressing steel: Stress-strain relationship

- Mathematical model and parameters $f_{sp,\theta}$, $f_{sy,\theta}$, and $E_{s,\theta}$

\[\varepsilon_{sp,\theta} = f_{sp,\theta} / E_{s,\theta}\quad \varepsilon_{sy,\theta} = 0,02\quad \varepsilon_{st,\theta} = 0,15\quad \varepsilon_{su,\theta} = 0,20\]

Class A reinforcement: \[\varepsilon_{st,\theta} = 0,05\quad \varepsilon_{su,\theta} = 0,10\]
Reinforcing steel strength

- **EUROCODES**
- Background and Applications

---

**Reinforcing steel strength**

- **ratio of strength** $f_y / f_{yk}$
- **strain** $\varepsilon_s$

**Hot rolled reinforcing steel**

- $f_{yk} = 500$ N/mm²

**Strength at 0.2% proof strain**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Strength at 0.2% proof strain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20°C - 400°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strength reduction of reinforcing steel

Strength reduction for simplified calculation methods in Section 4

- Class N (normal)

![Graph showing strength reduction factors for different types of reinforcement.]

- Curve 1: Tension reinforcement (hot rolled) for strains $\varepsilon_{s,fi} \geq 2\%$
- Curve 2: Tension reinforcement (cold worked) for strains $\varepsilon_{s,fi} \geq 2\%$
- Curve 3: Compression reinforcement and tension reinforcement for strains $\varepsilon_{s,fi} < 2\%$
Strength reduction of steel

Strength reduction for simplified calculation methods in Section 4
- Class X: recommended only when there is experimental evidence

![Graph showing temperature vs. reduction factor \( k_s(\theta) \)](image-url)

- Curve 1: Tension reinforcement (hot rolled and cold worked) for strains \( \varepsilon_{s,fi} \geq 2\% \)
- Curve 2: Compression reinforcement and tension reinforcement (hot rolled and cold worked) for strains \( \varepsilon_{s,fi} < 2\% \)
Class X was proposed by Finland because initial testing of steel strength at elevated temperatures is required in Finnish standard.

**FINNISH NA:**

- Class X may be used with following additional conditions:
- Strength properties at elevated temperatures are determined by applying standard SFS-EN 10002-5.
- Strength properties of reinforcing steel at elevated temperatures are subject to initial type testing at temperatures 300 °C, 400 °C, 450 °C, 500 °C and 550 °C.
- Requirements for 0,2 % proof strength $R_{p0.2}$ are given in table 3.2-FI, where $f_{y}$ is nominal yield strength or 0,2 % proof stress of the reinforcing steel at room temperature.
- Table 3.2-FI: Strength requirements of reinforcing steel at elevated temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>$R_{p0.2}$ (% $f_{y}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Reinforcing steel
\( \theta_{cr} = 500^\circ C \)
0.6 stress level

2. Prestressing bars
\( \theta_{cr} = 400^\circ C \)
0.55 stress level

3. Prestressing wires and strands
\( \theta_{cr} = 350^\circ C \)
0.55 stress level
Strength reduction is given by $f_{py,\theta} / (\beta f_{pk})$ and $f_{pp,\theta} / (\beta f_{pk})$, where $\beta$ is NDP

- **Class A:**
  \[
  \beta = \left[ \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{ud} - f_{p0,1k}}{E_p} \right) \times \left( \frac{f_{pk} - f_{p0,1k}}{f_{pk}} \right) + \frac{f_{p0,1k}}{f_{pk}} \right]
  \]

- **Class B:** $\beta = 0.9$
Common new proposal from the University of Liege and CERIB for the general and simplified models for the mechanical properties of prestressing steel (wires and strands) at elevated temperatures, September 12th 2003
Strength reduction for simplified calculation methods in Section 4

![Graph showing strength reduction factors vs. temperature](image)

- **Curve 1a**: Cold worked prestressing steel (wires and strands) Class A
- **Curve 1b**: Cold worked prestressing steel (wires and strands) Class B
- **Curve 2**: Quenched and tempered prestressing steel (bars)
Thermal properties

- Specific heat of concrete, $u$ is moisture % by weight
Thermal properties

- Thermal conductivity of concrete, NDP between upper and lower limit

![Graph showing thermal conductivity of concrete](image-url)
Background for thermal conductivity

- **Project Team EN 1992-1-2 made a lot of calibrations to temperatures measured in fire tests of typical concrete structures, and the lower limit fits very well**
- **Design rules for steel-concrete composite structures (mainly including heavy steel sections) seem to be calibrated to the upper limit**
- **A compromise was made on TC 250 level: NDP between upper and lower limit**

**EN 1992-1-2, 3.3.3:**
- **Note 2: Annex A is compatible with the lower limit. The remaining clauses of this part 1-2 are independent of the choice of thermal conductivity. For high strength concrete, see 6.3.**
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Design methods

• **advanced calculation methods** for simulating the behaviour of structural members, parts of the structure or the entire structure, see 4.3
  – only principles are given, no detailed design rules

• **simplified calculation methods** for specific types of members, see 4.2
  – Annex B.1 “500°C isotherm method”
    developed by Dr Yngve Anderberg, earlier published in Sweden and in CEB Bulletins
  – Annex B.2 “Zone method”
    developed by Dr Kristian Hertz, earlier published in Denmark and in ENV 1992-1-2

• detailing according to recognised design solutions (**tabulated data or testing**), see Section 5

• **Shear, torsion and anchorage; spalling; joints**
Simplified calculation method

- **500°C isotherm method**

Concrete with temperature below 500°C retains full strength and the rest is disregarded.

- **Zone method**

Cross section is divided in zones. Mean temperature and corresponding strength of each zone is used.

This method is more accurate for small cross sections than 500°C isotherm method.

\[
M k_c(\theta_M) = k_c(\theta_1) + k_c(\theta_2) + k_c(\theta_3)
\]
500°C isotherm method

- Determine the 500°C isotherm and the reduced width $b_{fi}$ and effective depth $d_{fi}$
- Determine the temperature of reinforcing bars and the reduced strength
- Use conventional calculation methods
• Temperature distribution in the cross section can be calculated from the thermal properties
• Annex A of EN 1992-1-2 gives temperature profiles for slabs, beams and columns
Simplified calculation method for beams and slabs

- Annex E
- Simplified method to calculate bending capacity for predominantly uniformly distributed loads
- This is some kind of extension of Tabulated data

\[ M_{Rd,fi} = \left( \frac{\gamma_s}{\gamma_{s,fi}} \right) \times k_s(\theta) \times M_{Ed} \left( \frac{A_{s,prov}}{A_{s,req}} \right) \]

![Free moment diagram for uniformly distributed load under fire conditions](image)
Annex D (informative)

- Shear failures due to fire are very uncommon. However, the calculation methods given in this Annex are not fully verified.
- For elements in which the shear capacity is dependent on the tensile strength, special consideration should be given where tensile stresses are caused by non-linear temperature distributions
The reference temperature $\theta_p$ should be evaluated at points $P$ along the line ‘a-a’ for the calculation of the shear resistance. The effective tension area $A$ may be obtained from EN 1992-1 (SLS of cracking).
Spalling of normal strength concrete

**CALCULATION METHODS**

Define exposure class

- X0 or XC1 (dry)
- Moisture content ≤ 3%

**TABULATED DATA**

Explosive spalling is covered by minimum requirements. No further check needed.

- Moisture content, type of aggregates, permeability of concrete, heating rate
- Loss of cover and calculate R

- Solid slabs OK, some beams OK

- Assume loss of cover and calculate R

- Has the correct behaviour been checked by tests

- Avoid spalling by more accurate assessment

- Is moisture content known

- No, or yes but > 3%

- OK

- Moisture content ≤ 3%

- Yes

- No

- Yes

- No

- Yes

- No

- Yes

- No
Falling off of normal strength concrete

Shall be minimised or taken into account

- $c \geq 70 \text{ mm}$
  - Yes → OK
  - No →

  - Tests to show that falling does not occur
    - Yes → OK
    - No → Provide surface reinforcement
EN 1992-1-2
Fire design of concrete structures

- Sections 1 and 2 General, Basis of design
- Section 3 Material properties
- Section 4 Design procedures
  - Simplified calculation method 4.2, Annex A, B and E
  - Shear, torsion and anchorage 4.4 and Annex D
  - Spalling 4.5
- Section 5 Tabulated data
  - Annex C
- Section 6 High strength concrete
(1) This section gives recognised design solutions for the standard fire exposure up to 240 minutes. The rules refer to member analysis.

Note: The tables have been developed on an empirical basis confirmed by experience and theoretical evaluation of tests. The data is derived from approximate conservative assumptions for the more common structural elements and is valid for the whole range of thermal conductivity in 3.3. More specific tabulated data can be found in the product standards for some particular types of concrete products or developed, on the basis of the calculation method in accordance with 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4.

(2) The values given in the tables apply to normal weight concrete (2000 to 2600 kg/m³, made with siliceous aggregates. If calcareous aggregates or lightweight aggregates are used in beams or slabs the minimum dimension of the cross-section may be reduced by 10%.

(3) When using tabulated data no further checks are required concerning shear and torsion capacity and anchorage details.

(4) When using tabulated data no further checks are required concerning spalling, except for surface reinforcement.
Tabulated data are based on a reference load level $\eta_{fi} = 0,7$, unless otherwise stated in the relevant clauses.

Note: Where the partial safety factors specified in the National Annexes of EN 1990 deviate from those indicated in 2.4.2, the above value $\eta_{fi} = 0,7$ may not be valid. In such circumstances the value of $\eta_{fi}$ for use in a Country may be found in its National Annex.

For walls and columns load level $\eta_{fi}$ or degree of utilisation $\mu_{fi}$ is included in the tables

Linear interpolation between the values in the tables may be carried out
Load level and degree of utilisation

**ACTIONS**

- $E_d$ with $\gamma_F$
- $E_{d,fi}$ with $\gamma_{F,fi}$ and $\psi$

**RESISTANCES**

- $R_d$ with $\gamma_M$

$E_d \times \eta_{fi} = E_{d,fi}$

$R_d$

$\eta_{fi} = \text{load level}$

$\mu_{fi} = E_{d,fi} / R_d = \text{degree of utilisation}$

takes into account if the structure is not fully loaded
Check minimum dimensions of concrete cross section and axis distance to steel

Axis distance is nominal value, no need to add tolerance

Axis distance is given for reinforcing steel ($\theta_{cr} = 500^\circ C$), to be increased for prestressing steel (bars 10 mm, strands and wires 15 mm)

$\theta_{cr} = 500^\circ C$ is derived from load level 0,7 divided by partial factor for reinforcement $\gamma_s = 1,15 \rightarrow \sigma_{s,fi}/f_{yk} = 0,60$

For prestressing strands and wires $\theta_{cr} = 350^\circ C$ and $\sigma_{s,fi}/f_{p0,1k} = 0,55$

($E_{d,fi} = 0,7 \ E_d, \ f_{p0,1k}/f_{pk} = 0,9, \gamma_s = 1,15$)
For beams and slabs degree of utilisation may be taken into account by following simple rule:

a) Calculate the actual steel stress

b) Evaluate the critical temperature using reference curve for steel strength

c') Adjust the minimum axis distance by 1 mm for every 10°C difference in temperature

\[ \sigma_{s,fi} = \frac{E_{d,fi}}{E_d} \times \frac{f_{yk}(20^\circ C)}{\gamma_s} \times \frac{A_{s,req}}{A_{s,prov}} \]

\( \sigma_{s,fi} / f_{yk} = 0.4 \)

\( T_{cr} = 580^\circ C, \Delta a = -8 \text{ mm} \)
Tabulated data for columns

- **Completely revised**
- **Two optional methods are given**
  - **Method A** is derived from test results, but field of application is limited to buckling length $\leq 3$ m and first order eccentricity $\leq 0.15h$ to $0.4h$ (depending on the National Annex)
  - **Method B** is based on calculations, it is more conservative and many interpolations are needed. Limitations for normative table: eccentricity $\leq 0.25h$ and $\lambda_{fi} \leq 30$

9 pages of tables in Annex C
Parameters for columns

In Method A degree of utilisation:

\[ \mu_{fi} = \frac{N_{Ed,fi}}{N_{Rd}} \]

In Method B load level is defined as:

\[ n = \frac{N_{0Ed,fi}}{0.7(A_c f_{cd} + A_s f_{yd})} \]

- upper floor 0.7 \( l \)
- intermediate floor 0.5 \( l \)
### Method A for columns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard fire resistance</th>
<th>Minimum dimensions (mm)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Column width $b_{\text{min}}$/axis distance $a$ of the main bars</td>
<td>$\mu_{fi} = 0.2$</td>
<td>$\mu_{fi} = 0.5$</td>
<td>$\mu_{fi} = 0.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Column exposed on more than one side</td>
<td>Exposed on one side</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 30</td>
<td>200/25</td>
<td>200/25</td>
<td>300/27</td>
<td>155/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 60</td>
<td>200/25</td>
<td>200/36</td>
<td>350/46</td>
<td>155/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300/31</td>
<td>350/40</td>
<td>155/25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 90</td>
<td>200/31</td>
<td>300/45</td>
<td>350/53</td>
<td>155/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300/25</td>
<td>400/38</td>
<td>450/40**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>350/45**</td>
<td>450/57**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 120</td>
<td>250/40</td>
<td>350/45**</td>
<td>350/57**</td>
<td>175/35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>350/35</td>
<td>450/40**</td>
<td>450/51**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 180</td>
<td>350/45**</td>
<td>350/63**</td>
<td>450/70**</td>
<td>230/55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 240</td>
<td>350/61**</td>
<td>450/75**</td>
<td></td>
<td>295/70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Minimum 8 bars
For prestressed columns the increase of axis distance according to 5.2. (5) should be noted.
## Method B for columns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard fire resistance</th>
<th>Mechanical reinforcement ratio $ω$</th>
<th>$n = 0.15$</th>
<th>$n = 0.3$</th>
<th>$n = 0.5$</th>
<th>$n = 0.7$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 30</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>150/25*</td>
<td>150/25*</td>
<td>200/30:250/25*</td>
<td>300/30:350/25*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>150/25*</td>
<td>150/25*</td>
<td>150/25*</td>
<td>150/25*</td>
<td>200/30:300/25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 60</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>150/30:200/25*</td>
<td>200/40:300/25*</td>
<td>300/40:500/25*</td>
<td>500/25*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 90</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 120</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>250/50:350/25*</td>
<td>400/50:550/25*</td>
<td>500/60:600/30</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>200/45:300/25*</td>
<td>300/45:550/25*</td>
<td>450/50:600/25</td>
<td>600/75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>200/40:250/25*</td>
<td>250/50:400/25*</td>
<td>450/45:600/30</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 180</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>400/50:500/25*</td>
<td>500/60:550/25*</td>
<td>550/60:600/30</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>300/45:450/25*</td>
<td>450/50:600/25</td>
<td>500/60:600/50</td>
<td>600/75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>300/35:400/25*</td>
<td>450/50:550/25</td>
<td>500/60:600/45</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 240</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>500/60:550/25*</td>
<td>550/40:600/25*</td>
<td>600/75</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>450/45:500/25*</td>
<td>550/55:600/25*</td>
<td>600/70</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>400/45:500/25*</td>
<td>500/40:600/30</td>
<td>600/60</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Normally the cover required by EN 1992-1-1 will control.
(1) Requires width greater than 600 mm. Particular assessment for buckling is required.
Simple calculation for method A

\[ R = 120 \left( \frac{(R_{\eta fi} + R_a + R_l + R_b + R_n)}{120} \right)^{1.8} \]

\[ R_{\eta fi} = 83100 - \mu_{fi} \left( \frac{(1+\omega)}{0.85/\alpha_{cc} + \omega} \right) \]

- \( R_a = 1.60 \ (a - 30) \)
- \( R_l = 9.60 \ (5 - l_{0,fi}) \)
- \( R_b = 0.09 \ b' \)
- \( R_n = \begin{align*} & 0 \quad \text{for } n = 4 \text{ (corner bars only)} \\ & 12 \quad \text{for } n > 4 \end{align*} \)
- \( a = \text{axis distance to the longitudinal steel bars (mm); } 25 \text{ mm} \leq a \leq 80 \text{ mm} \)
- \( l_{0,fi} = \text{effective length of the column under fire conditions; } 2 \text{ m} \leq l_{0,fi} \leq 6 \text{ m} \)
- \( b' = 2A_c/ (b+h) \) for rectangular cross-sections
  = \( \phi_{col} \) for circular cross-sections (mm); 
  \[ 200 \text{ mm} \leq b' \leq 450 \text{ mm}; \ h \leq 1.5 \ b. \]
- \( \omega = \text{mechanical reinforcement ratio at normal temperature conditions} \)

\[ \omega = \frac{A_{fy}}{A_{fc}} \]

\( \alpha_{cc} = \text{coefficient for compressive strength (see EN 1992-1-1)} \)
$R_{(min)}$ for different values of $\eta, \phi$:
- $\eta, \phi = 0.5 > 4 \text{ bars}$
- $\eta, \phi = 0.3 > 4 \text{ bars}$
- $\eta, \phi = 0.5 "4 \text{ bars}"$
- $\eta, \phi = 0.3 > 4 \text{ bars}$
- $\eta, \phi = 0.3 4 \text{ bars}$

Buckling length (m) vs. $R_{(min)}$
• **Tabulated data as in ENV**
• **Fire walls have been added**
  – Classification M, to be used only if there are national requirements
  – Data taken from DIN standard
**In principle the same as in ENV**

**Some numerical values have been checked, e.g.**
- Rule for increase of axis distance in I-beam web (validity of expression 5.10)
- Three classes for I-beam web thickness (NDP)
- Minimum width of continuous beams
- Flat slab thicknesses have been checked (to more conservative direction)
EN 1992-1-2
Fire design of concrete structures

- Sections 1 and 2 General, Basis of design
- Section 3 Material properties
- Section 4 Design procedures
  - Simplified calculation method 4.2, Annex A, B and E
  - Shear, torsion and anchorage 4.4 and Annex D
  - Spalling 4.5
- Section 5 Tabulated data
  - Annex C
- Section 6 High strength concrete
Strength reduction of high strength concrete

- Large scatter in strength, composition of concrete has big influence
HSC strength reduction is NDP
## Increase of minimum cross section by factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Class 1</th>
<th>Class 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Walls and slabs exposed on one side</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Other structural members</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Increase of axis distance by factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Class 1</th>
<th>Class 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Factors are recommended values, and may be modified in National Annex

Factor for axis distance in Class 2 seems to be too high, and it should not depend on the strength reduction
### HSC simplified calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moment capacity reduction factors for beams and slabs</th>
<th>$k_m$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beams</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Slabs exposed to fire in the compression zone</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beams</td>
<td>0,98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slabs exposed to fire in the compression zone</td>
<td>0,98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Slabs exposed to fire in the tension side, $h_s \geq 120$ mm</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slabs exposed to fire in the tension side, $h_s = 50$ mm</td>
<td>0,95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Slabs exposed to fire in the tension side, $h_s = 50$ mm</strong></td>
<td>0,95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Spalling of HSC

- Up to C80/95 and silica fume content less than 6 % rules for normal strength concrete apply
- In other cases at least one of the following methods:
  - **A**: A reinforcement mesh with a nominal cover of 15 mm. This mesh should have wires with a diameter \( \geq 2 \text{ mm} \) with a pitch \( \leq 50 \times 50 \text{ mm} \). The nominal cover to the main reinforcement should be \( \geq 40 \text{ mm} \).
  - **B**: A type of concrete for which it has been demonstrated (by local experience or by testing) that no spalling of concrete occurs under fire exposure.
  - **C**: Protective layers for which it is demonstrated that no spalling of concrete occurs under fire exposure.
  - **D**: Include in the concrete mix more than 2 kg/m\(^3\) of monofilament propylene fibres.
• Project Team has written ”Main background document” describing main changes to ENV
• It refers to other numbered documents called BDA (Background Document Annex)
• These documents have been delivered to CEN/TC 250/SC 2.

End of presentation